

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.osplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/741,926	12/20/2000	Ronaldus Hermanus Theodorus Oosterholt	PHN 17,871	9624	
24737	7590 11/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			KE, PENG		
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	iui FMANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2174		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				35
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
		09/741,926	OOSTERHOLT ET AL.	
3. L	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Peng Ke	2174	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			,	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	July 2004.		
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Dispositi	on of Claims			•
5)	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	• •	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 7/12/04. This action is final.

2. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. Claims 1, and 7 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 7/12/04, claims 1-14 are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horvitz (US 6,067,565) in view of Kulkarni et al. (US 6,310,630).

As per claim 1, Horvitz teaches a device for presenting information units, comprising history means for storing references to presentable information units into a history list, the history means comprising user operable navigation means for changing a current position in the history list (col 40, lines 1-63):

Examiner infers that the history list is a container that contains a list of the web pages, which are previously viewed by the user. Horvitz allows the user to view the pre-fetched web pages, and that would change the position of the web pages that were previously viewed by the user.

and presentation means for presenting an information unit referenced by the reference at the current position, and compilation means for user operably compiling a set of references to desired information units, wherein the compiled set of references includes both previously viewed and un-viewed information units (col. 40, lines 1-30), and storing the references of said set into the history list so as to present an information unit referenced by the compiled set in response to a user operating said navigation means (col 34, lines 19- 46).

However, he fails to teach storing the references of said set according to the time of their inclusion.

Kulkarni et al. teaches storing the references of said set according to the time of their inclusion (col. 6, lines 45-50)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include Kulkarni et al.'s teaching with Horvitz's device in order to allow the users to view their browsing history in chronological order.

As per claim 2, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach the device as claimed in claim 1. Horvitz further teaches the navigation means comprising forward means for changing the current position in the history list to a reference stored more recently than the reference at the current position, the presentation means being adapted to present respective information units referenced by the compiled set in response to the user iteratively operating said forward means(col 40, lines 1-63).

Examiner infers that the feature which is disclosed by Horvitz that allows the user to navigate the web page using forward and back, and allowing the user to view the pre-fetched

Art Unit: 2174

web pages, which would change the position of the web page that were previously viewed by the user.

As per claim 3, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach the device as claimed in claim 2. Horvitz further teaches the compilation means being adapted to impose a user supplied order on the compiled set of references, and store the references into the history list in accordance with said order (col 34, lines 19-46).

As per claim 4, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach the device as claimed in claim 1. Horvitz further teaches the comprising bookmark means for storing a bookmark to the compiled set of references (col 14, lines 57- 68, col 15, lines 1-9, col 34, lines 19- 46), and storing the references of said set into the history list in response to the user selecting said bookmark (col 40, lines 1-63).

As per claim 5, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach the device as claimed in claim 1.

Horvitz further teaches the information units being retrieved from a remote server, the compilation means being adapted to start retrieving information units referenced by the compiled set independently of an operation of the navigation means (col 40, lines 1-63).

As per claim 6, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach an internet access terminal as a device as claimed in claim 1 (col 5, lines 47-59).

As per claim 7, it is rejection with same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 8, it is of the same scope as claim 2. (see rejection above).

As per claim 9, it is of the same scope as claim 3. (see rejection above).

As per claim 10, it is of the same scope as claim 4. (see rejection above).

As per claim 11, it is of the same scope as claim 5. (see rejection above).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 12, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach method of claim 7. Horvitz further teaches a computer program product for performing, when executed on a computing device, the method as claimed in 7 (col 5, lines 49-53).

As per claim 13, Horvitz and Kulkarni et al. teach the device as claimed in clam 1. Horvitz further teaches wherein a first information unit reference by the compiled set is presented immediately (col. 6, lines 45-50).

As per clam 14, it is of the same scope as claim 13. (see rejection above)

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/12/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- A) Horvitz fails to teach or suggests "compiling a set of reference ... including both previously viewed and unviewed information items and storing the reference ... according to the time of their inclusion into the history list"
 - B) Horvitz fails to teach or suggest user operating of said navigation means.

 Examiner disagrees
- A) Horvitz teaches allowing user to browse through the web pages stored in the user history. (col. 40, lines 35-39) Furthermore, Horvitz allows the user to download a set of web pages through the search engine. (col. 40, lines 10-30) As user browses through this set of web pages, part of this set becomes viewed information, and the other part remains unviewed. (col. 40, lines 10-30). However, Horvitz fails to sort the web pages based on their time of inclusion, and Kulkarni makes up for this. (col. 6, lines 45-50)

Art Unit: 2174

B) Horvitz allows the user to browse a set of web pages using the back and forward buttons. (col. 40, lines 20-25)

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (571) 272-4062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,926

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peng Ke

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7